# milgram experiment ethical concerns

milgram experiment ethical concerns represent a pivotal moment in the history of psychology, fundamentally reshaping how research involving human subjects is conducted and reviewed. Stanley Milgram's controversial obedience experiments, designed to understand the willingness of participants to obey an authority figure who instructed them to perform acts conflicting with their personal conscience, sparked immediate and widespread ethical condemnation. This article delves deeply into the core ethical violations inherent in Milgram's methodology, including profound deception, the infliction of significant psychological distress, and the abrogation of participants' right to withdraw from the study. We will explore how these breaches illuminated critical flaws in research practices of the time, leading directly to the establishment of robust ethical guidelines and the creation of Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) globally. Understanding the ethical concerns surrounding the Milgram experiment is essential for appreciating the foundations of modern research ethics and ensuring the protection of human dignity in scientific inquiry.

- Understanding the Milgram Experiment: A Brief Overview
- The Experiment's Premise and Procedure
- Core Ethical Violations in the Milgram Experiment
- Lack of Informed Consent and Deception

Psychological Harm and Distress to Participants
Violation of the Right to Withdraw
• Inadequate Debriefing and Follow-up
• The Broader Impact on Research Ethics and Guidelines
The Birth of Institutional Review Boards (IRBs)
• Evolving Principles of Human Subject Protection
• Methodological Criticisms Related to Ethical Breaches
<ul> <li>Questioning the Validity of Findings Due to Stress</li> </ul>
The Generalizability of Results

## Conclusion: A Legacy of Ethical Scrutiny

## Understanding the Milgram Experiment: A Brief Overview

The Milgram experiment, conducted by psychologist Stanley Milgram at Yale University in the early 1960s, sought to understand the psychological mechanisms of obedience to authority. Prompted by the atrocities of the Holocaust and the defense offered by Nazi war criminals – "I was just following orders" – Milgram designed an experiment to assess how far ordinary people would go in obeying an instruction, even if it involved inflicting harm on another person. The findings, which indicated a surprisingly high level of obedience, were shocking, but it was the methods employed that ignited a firestorm of ethical debate that continues to resonate today.

#### The Experiment's Premise and Procedure

The setup of the Milgram experiment involved three key roles: the "Experimenter" (an authority figure), the "Teacher" (the actual participant), and the "Learner" (a confederate, an actor pretending to be a participant). Participants were recruited for what they believed was a study on memory and learning. Upon arrival, the participant was designated the "Teacher," and the confederate was designated the "Learner," through what appeared to be a random draw but was, in fact, rigged. The "Learner" was then strapped into a chair in an adjacent room with electrodes attached to their arm.

The "Teacher" was instructed to administer electric shocks to the "Learner" every time they made a mistake on a word-pair memory task. The shock generator panel had 30 switches ranging from 15 volts ("Slight Shock") to 450 volts ("XXX – Danger: Severe Shock"). Crucially, the shocks were not real, but the "Teacher" believed they were. As the "Teacher" increased the voltage, the "Learner" (who was pre-recorded) would emit increasingly distressed sounds, including shouts, protests, and

eventually silence. If the "Teacher" expressed reluctance to continue, the "Experimenter" would use a series of standardized verbal prods, such as "Please continue," or "The experiment requires that you continue," to encourage obedience. This elaborate deception formed the bedrock of the experiment's ethical quandaries.

## Core Ethical Violations in the Milgram Experiment

The Milgram experiment is frequently cited in discussions of research ethics precisely because it breached several fundamental principles now considered sacrosanct in human subject research. These breaches were not minor oversights but deeply embedded in the design and execution of the study, raising serious questions about the cost of scientific knowledge when participant welfare is compromised.

### Lack of Informed Consent and Deception

One of the most glaring ethical concerns surrounding the Milgram experiment was the profound level of deception employed and the subsequent lack of true informed consent. Participants were led to believe they were part of a study on memory and learning, not an experiment on obedience. They were unaware that the "Learner" was an actor, that the shocks were fake, or that the true purpose was to observe their reactions to authority.

Modern ethical guidelines unequivocally state that participants must be fully informed about the nature of a study, its potential risks and benefits, and their rights before agreeing to participate. This includes understanding the real purpose of the research. In Milgram's case, participants could not provide informed consent because they were systematically misled about critical aspects of the experiment. This deception meant they could not weigh the genuine risks – primarily, the intense psychological distress – against their willingness to participate, thus invalidating their consent.

#### Psychological Harm and Distress to Participants

Perhaps the most significant ethical criticism leveled against Milgram's work is the severe psychological harm and distress inflicted upon participants. Eyewitness accounts and Milgram's own notes reveal that many "Teachers" exhibited extreme signs of stress and anxiety during the experiment. These included:

- · Trembling and sweating
- Stuttering and nervous laughter
- Biting their lips and digging their fingernails into their flesh
- Visible signs of anguish and inner conflict

Participants genuinely believed they were causing intense pain, and potentially serious injury or death, to another human being. This belief generated immense internal conflict between their moral compass and the demands of the authority figure. Even though the shocks were fake, the participants' subjective experience of distress was very real and profound. Critics argue that exposing individuals to such intense psychological torment without adequate preparation or support is inherently unethical, regardless of the scientific insights gained. The principle of non-maleficence, which dictates that researchers should do no harm, was severely violated.

### Violation of the Right to Withdraw

Another critical ethical concern was the explicit and implicit pressure placed on participants, effectively denying them their right to withdraw from the experiment at any point. While participants were

technically free to leave, the "Experimenter" used a series of standardized "prods" to encourage them to continue. These prods escalated in intensity:

- 1. "Please continue."
- 2. "The experiment requires that you continue."
- 3. "It is absolutely essential that you continue."
- 4. "You have no other choice, you must go on."

These statements, delivered by a perceived authority figure in a scientific setting, made it extremely difficult for participants to exercise their autonomy and withdraw. Many participants expressed a desire to stop but felt compelled by the Experimenter's insistence, leading to prolonged distress. Modern ethical standards emphasize voluntary participation, meaning individuals must feel free to discontinue their involvement at any time without penalty or coercion. Milgram's experiment clearly failed this crucial test.

## Inadequate Debriefing and Follow-up

While Milgram did conduct a debriefing session with participants after the experiment, critics argued that it was insufficient given the severity of the deception and psychological stress. Initial debriefings were not always immediate or comprehensive enough to fully alleviate the distress experienced. Participants learned that the "Learner" was unharmed, but they still had to grapple with the realization that they themselves were capable of inflicting what they believed to be severe pain on an innocent person under orders.

Furthermore, there was concern about the lack of long-term psychological follow-up. While Milgram

later conducted a follow-up questionnaire and interview with some participants, the initial support was limited. Modern ethical guidelines demand thorough, timely, and sensitive debriefing, offering counseling or psychological support if warranted. The lingering questions about participants' self-perception and potential residual guilt highlight the inadequacy of Milgram's debriefing protocols by today's standards.

### The Broader Impact on Research Ethics and Guidelines

The Milgram experiment, alongside other controversial studies like the Stanford Prison Experiment, served as a powerful catalyst for a fundamental overhaul of research ethics involving human subjects. The outrage and critical discussion it generated directly contributed to the development of the rigorous ethical frameworks that govern research today.

#### The Birth of Institutional Review Boards (IRBs)

One of the most significant and lasting impacts of the Milgram experiment's ethical concerns was the establishment of Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) in the United States, and similar ethical review committees worldwide. Before Milgram, researchers often operated with considerable autonomy, and formal, independent ethical oversight was minimal. The profound ethical breaches in studies like Milgram's made it painfully clear that self-regulation was insufficient.

IRBs are now mandated for any institution conducting research involving human participants. Their primary role is to review all proposed research protocols to ensure they meet ethical guidelines, prioritize participant welfare, and minimize risks. This includes scrutinizing research for issues related to informed consent, deception, potential harm, the right to withdraw, and adequate debriefing, directly addressing the failings identified in the Milgram experiment.

#### **Evolving Principles of Human Subject Protection**

The discussions sparked by Milgram's study contributed directly to the articulation and formalization of key ethical principles for human subject protection. The Belmont Report, published in 1978, became a cornerstone document, outlining three core ethical principles:

- 1. Respect for Persons: Recognizing individual autonomy and protecting those with diminished autonomy (e.g., children, prisoners). This directly relates to informed consent and the right to withdraw.
- 2. **Beneficence**: Obligating researchers to maximize benefits and minimize harm to participants. This principle directly challenges the psychological distress caused in Milgram's study.
- 3. **Justice:** Ensuring fairness in the distribution of research benefits and burdens, and that no population is unfairly targeted or excluded.

These principles, now embedded in national and international research ethics guidelines, stand as a direct response to the ethical dilemmas posed by experiments like Milgram's. They emphasize that while scientific advancement is important, it must never come at the expense of human dignity, well-being, and rights.

## Methodological Criticisms Related to Ethical Breaches

Beyond the purely ethical condemnation, the ethical breaches in the Milgram experiment also gave rise to significant methodological criticisms. These arguments contend that the ethical compromises not only harmed participants but also potentially undermined the scientific validity and generalizability of the study's conclusions.

#### Questioning the Validity of Findings Due to Stress

Critics argued that the extreme psychological stress experienced by participants might have rendered

their behavior unrepresentative of "normal" obedience. When individuals are under immense duress, their cognitive processes and decision-making capabilities can be significantly impaired. The argument posits that participants' actions might have been more a reflection of their desperate attempts to cope with an ethically untenable situation rather than a clear manifestation of obedience to authority in a more routine context. If participants were essentially traumatized, can their responses be considered a reliable indicator of human obedience under typical social conditions? This perspective suggests that the very ethical breaches designed to elicit profound obedience may have inadvertently muddied the scientific waters, making the interpretation of the results more complex and potentially less straightforward than Milgram claimed.

#### The Generalizability of Results

The highly artificial and ethically compromised nature of the Milgram experiment also raised questions about the generalizability of its findings. The intense deception, the powerful authority figure, and the manufactured situation of inflicting pain are far removed from most real-world scenarios where obedience is observed. Critics question whether findings from such an ethically fraught setup can be broadly applied to explain obedience in diverse social, cultural, and historical contexts. While the experiment revealed a disturbing capacity for obedience, the extreme conditions under which it was demonstrated lead many to debate the extent to which these results truly reflect everyday human behavior or are an artifact of an ethically problematic experimental design. The ethical issues, therefore, are not merely about participant protection but also about the scientific rigor and external validity of the research itself.

## **Conclusion: A Legacy of Ethical Scrutiny**

The Milgram experiment remains one of the most compelling and controversial studies in psychological history, not only for its profound insights into human obedience but, perhaps even more so, for the intense ethical scrutiny it continues to attract. The severe deception, the psychological distress inflicted upon participants, the violation of their right to withdraw, and the initial inadequacy of debriefing

represent critical failings when viewed through the lens of modern research ethics. However, it is precisely these ethical concerns that transformed the landscape of human subject research. The legacy of the Milgram experiment is multifaceted: it serves as a stark warning about the potential dangers of unchecked scientific inquiry while simultaneously acting as a foundational case study for the imperative need for robust ethical guidelines, informed consent, and independent oversight. Its enduring relevance underscores the perpetual tension between the pursuit of scientific knowledge and the fundamental responsibility to protect the well-being and dignity of all research participants.

#### **FAQ Section**

#### Q: What were the main ethical concerns in the Milgram experiment?

A: The main ethical concerns in the Milgram experiment revolved around profound deception, the infliction of severe psychological distress and potential harm on participants, the violation of their right to withdraw from the study, and an inadequate debriefing process that left participants to grapple with difficult realizations about their own behavior.

## Q: How did the Milgram experiment violate informed consent?

A: Milgram's experiment violated informed consent because participants were misled about the true purpose of the study. They believed it was a memory experiment, not an obedience study, and were unaware that the "Learner" was a confederate and the shocks were fake. This deception meant they could not give truly informed consent about the risks and nature of their participation.

# Q: Did participants in the Milgram experiment suffer long-term psychological harm?

A: While Milgram's follow-up studies and questionnaires suggested that most participants reported no long-term psychological harm and often felt positive about their participation, critics argue that the intensity of the stress and the nature of the deception could have had lasting, unmeasured effects. The ethical standards today would not permit such a level of distress, largely due to the risk of psychological harm.

# Q: What is the right to withdraw in research, and how did Milgram violate it?

A: The right to withdraw is a fundamental ethical principle stating that participants must be free to discontinue their involvement in a study at any time without penalty. Milgram violated this by using a series of increasingly insistent verbal "prods" from the "Experimenter" which made it very difficult for participants to exercise their autonomy and stop the experiment, even when they expressed a desire to do so.

## Q: How did the Milgram experiment influence modern research ethics?

A: The Milgram experiment was a critical turning point that significantly influenced modern research ethics. It directly contributed to the establishment of Institutional Review Boards (IRBs), the formalization of principles like informed consent, the right to withdraw, debriefing, and the minimization of harm, as codified in documents like the Belmont Report. It demonstrated the critical need for independent oversight of research involving human subjects.

## Q: What role do IRBs play in preventing experiments like Milgram's

#### today?

A: Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) play a crucial role by independently reviewing all proposed research involving human subjects to ensure it adheres to strict ethical guidelines. They scrutinize protocols for adequate informed consent, potential risks and benefits, participant protection, and proper debriefing procedures, making it virtually impossible for an experiment designed like Milgram's to receive approval today.

# Q: Was deception justified in the Milgram experiment for scientific discovery?

A: This is a highly debated question. While Milgram argued that the level of deception was necessary to achieve the study's scientific goals and reveal true obedience, modern ethical standards generally hold that deception should only be used as a last resort, when absolutely necessary, and must be justified by significant scientific value that cannot be obtained otherwise, always minimizing harm and ensuring thorough debriefing. Most contemporary ethics committees would deem the level of deception and harm in Milgram's study unacceptable.

### Q: Could the Milgram experiment be conducted ethically today?

A: No, the Milgram experiment, as originally designed, could not be conducted ethically today. Its methods violate multiple core ethical principles, including informed consent, the minimization of psychological harm, and the right to withdraw, which are foundational to modern human subject research guidelines. Any similar study would require significant modifications to ensure participant welfare, likely altering the nature of the findings.

### **Milgram Experiment Ethical Concerns**

Find other PDF articles:

http://www.speargroupllc.com/business-suggest-023/pdf?docid=wer05-3509&title=powerpoint-busin

## Related to milgram experiment ethical concerns

**Milgram experiment - Wikipedia** In the early 1960s, a series of social psychology experiments were conducted by Yale University psychologist Stanley Milgram, who intended to measure the willingness of study participants to

**MILGRAM Wiki | Fandom** MILGRAM, established April 2020, is an ongoing interactive music project by DECO\*27 and Takuya Yamanaka. The premise is that there are 10 prisoners residing in the Milgram Prison;

**Milgram Experiment: Overview, History, & Controversy** Yale University psychologist Stanley Milgram conducted these experiments during the 1960s. They explored the effects of authority on obedience. In the experiments, an

**Milgram experiment | Description, Psychology, Procedure,** Milgram experiment, controversial series of experiments examining obedience to authority conducted by social psychologist Stanley Milgram

**Stanley Milgram | Department of Psychology** Collectively known as The Milgram Experiment, this groundbreaking work demonstrated the human tendency to obey commands issued by an authority figure, and more generally, the

The Milgram Experiment: Understanding Obedience to Authority The Milgram experiment is among the most important and most ever influential experimental investigations in the whole of psychology, which underlines obedience, authority,

**The Milgram Experiment: Exploring Obedience to Authority** The Milgram Experiment, conducted by social psychologist Stanley Milgram in the early 1960s, remains one of the most controversial and influential studies in the history of

**The Milgram Experiment: Summary, Conclusion, Ethics** The Milgram Experiment showed that people follow instructions to harm others if told to do so by an authority figure, even if they feel uncomfortable

**Milgram Experiment: Obedience, Ethics, and Social Psychology** Explore the controversial Milgram Experiment, its methodology, findings, ethical implications, and lasting impact on social psychology and human behavior

**Milgram Shock Experiment | Summary | Results | Ethics** The Milgram Shock Experiment, conducted by Stanley Milgram in the 1960s, tested obedience to authority. Participants were instructed to administer increasingly severe

**Milgram experiment - Wikipedia** In the early 1960s, a series of social psychology experiments were conducted by Yale University psychologist Stanley Milgram, who intended to measure the willingness of study participants to

**MILGRAM Wiki | Fandom** MILGRAM, established April 2020, is an ongoing interactive music project by DECO\*27 and Takuya Yamanaka. The premise is that there are 10 prisoners residing in the Milgram Prison;

Milgram Experiment: Overview, History, & Controversy Yale University psychologist Stanley Milgram conducted these experiments during the 1960s. They explored the effects of authority on obedience. In the experiments, an

Milgram experiment | Description, Psychology, Procedure, Findings Milgram experiment, controversial series of experiments examining obedience to authority conducted by social

psychologist Stanley Milgram

**Stanley Milgram | Department of Psychology** Collectively known as The Milgram Experiment, this groundbreaking work demonstrated the human tendency to obey commands issued by an authority figure, and more generally, the

The Milgram Experiment: Understanding Obedience to Authority The Milgram experiment is among the most important and most ever influential experimental investigations in the whole of psychology, which underlines obedience, authority,

**The Milgram Experiment: Exploring Obedience to Authority** The Milgram Experiment, conducted by social psychologist Stanley Milgram in the early 1960s, remains one of the most controversial and influential studies in the history of

**The Milgram Experiment: Summary, Conclusion, Ethics** The Milgram Experiment showed that people follow instructions to harm others if told to do so by an authority figure, even if they feel uncomfortable

**Milgram Experiment: Obedience, Ethics, and Social Psychology** Explore the controversial Milgram Experiment, its methodology, findings, ethical implications, and lasting impact on social psychology and human behavior

**Milgram Shock Experiment | Summary | Results | Ethics** The Milgram Shock Experiment, conducted by Stanley Milgram in the 1960s, tested obedience to authority. Participants were instructed to administer increasingly severe

**Milgram experiment - Wikipedia** In the early 1960s, a series of social psychology experiments were conducted by Yale University psychologist Stanley Milgram, who intended to measure the willingness of study participants to

**MILGRAM Wiki | Fandom** MILGRAM, established April 2020, is an ongoing interactive music project by DECO\*27 and Takuya Yamanaka. The premise is that there are 10 prisoners residing in the Milgram Prison;

Milgram Experiment: Overview, History, & Controversy Yale University psychologist Stanley Milgram conducted these experiments during the 1960s. They explored the effects of authority on obedience. In the experiments, an

Milgram experiment | Description, Psychology, Procedure, Findings Milgram experiment, controversial series of experiments examining obedience to authority conducted by social psychologist Stanley Milgram

**Stanley Milgram | Department of Psychology** Collectively known as The Milgram Experiment, this groundbreaking work demonstrated the human tendency to obey commands issued by an authority figure, and more generally, the

The Milgram Experiment: Understanding Obedience to Authority The Milgram experiment is among the most important and most ever influential experimental investigations in the whole of psychology, which underlines obedience, authority,

**The Milgram Experiment: Exploring Obedience to Authority** The Milgram Experiment, conducted by social psychologist Stanley Milgram in the early 1960s, remains one of the most controversial and influential studies in the history of

**The Milgram Experiment: Summary, Conclusion, Ethics** The Milgram Experiment showed that people follow instructions to harm others if told to do so by an authority figure, even if they feel uncomfortable

**Milgram Experiment: Obedience, Ethics, and Social Psychology** Explore the controversial Milgram Experiment, its methodology, findings, ethical implications, and lasting impact on social psychology and human behavior

**Milgram Shock Experiment | Summary | Results | Ethics** The Milgram Shock Experiment, conducted by Stanley Milgram in the 1960s, tested obedience to authority. Participants were instructed to administer increasingly severe

**Milgram experiment - Wikipedia** In the early 1960s, a series of social psychology experiments were conducted by Yale University psychologist Stanley Milgram, who intended to measure the

willingness of study participants to

**MILGRAM Wiki | Fandom** MILGRAM, established April 2020, is an ongoing interactive music project by DECO\*27 and Takuya Yamanaka. The premise is that there are 10 prisoners residing in the Milgram Prison;

**Milgram Experiment: Overview, History, & Controversy** Yale University psychologist Stanley Milgram conducted these experiments during the 1960s. They explored the effects of authority on obedience. In the experiments, an

**Milgram experiment | Description, Psychology, Procedure,** Milgram experiment, controversial series of experiments examining obedience to authority conducted by social psychologist Stanley Milgram

**Stanley Milgram | Department of Psychology** Collectively known as The Milgram Experiment, this groundbreaking work demonstrated the human tendency to obey commands issued by an authority figure, and more generally, the

The Milgram Experiment: Understanding Obedience to Authority The Milgram experiment is among the most important and most ever influential experimental investigations in the whole of psychology, which underlines obedience, authority,

**The Milgram Experiment: Exploring Obedience to Authority** The Milgram Experiment, conducted by social psychologist Stanley Milgram in the early 1960s, remains one of the most controversial and influential studies in the history of

**The Milgram Experiment: Summary, Conclusion, Ethics** The Milgram Experiment showed that people follow instructions to harm others if told to do so by an authority figure, even if they feel uncomfortable

**Milgram Experiment: Obedience, Ethics, and Social Psychology** Explore the controversial Milgram Experiment, its methodology, findings, ethical implications, and lasting impact on social psychology and human behavior

#### Related to milgram experiment ethical concerns

**Authority's physical proximity means greater obedience. New look at results of famous experiment** (EurekAlert!1y) Who should be spared pain, hurt or disappointment, and who should be harmed? This internal dilemma accompanied the participants of the Milgram experiment, say experts from SWPS University. They have

**Authority's physical proximity means greater obedience. New look at results of famous experiment** (EurekAlert!1y) Who should be spared pain, hurt or disappointment, and who should be harmed? This internal dilemma accompanied the participants of the Milgram experiment, say experts from SWPS University. They have

Milgram's Obedience to Authority Experiment Comes Home (Psychology Today7mon) Source: Photo by Isabella Fischer on Unsplash In 1961, a young psychologist named Stanley Milgram set out to understand what he viewed as one of the most pressing questions of his time: How had the Milgram's Obedience to Authority Experiment Comes Home (Psychology Today7mon) Source: Photo by Isabella Fischer on Unsplash In 1961, a young psychologist named Stanley Milgram set out to understand what he viewed as one of the most pressing questions of his time: How had the

Back to Home: <a href="http://www.speargroupllc.com">http://www.speargroupllc.com</a>