causes of the cold war

causes of the cold war represent a complex interplay of ideological, political, economic, and military factors that emerged in the aftermath of World War II. This period marked a prolonged state of geopolitical tension between the United States and the Soviet Union, along with their respective allies. The rivalry was rooted in fundamental differences in governance, economic systems, and visions for the postwar world order. Various events and decisions during and after the war exacerbated mutual suspicions and hostilities, leading to the development of competing spheres of influence. Understanding the causes of the Cold War requires examining the ideological clash between capitalism and communism, the role of wartime alliances, the impact of nuclear weapons, and global strategic interests. This article explores these multifaceted causes in depth, providing a comprehensive overview of key contributing factors and their interrelations.

- Ideological Differences Between Capitalism and Communism
- Impact of World War II and Wartime Alliances
- Political and Military Rivalries
- Economic Factors and Reconstruction Efforts
- Role of Nuclear Weapons and Arms Race

Ideological Differences Between Capitalism and Communism

The fundamental ideological conflict between capitalism and communism was a primary cause of the Cold War. The United States championed a capitalist economic system based on private ownership, free markets, and democratic governance. In contrast, the Soviet Union promoted communism, advocating for state ownership of resources, centralized economic planning, and a one-party political structure. These opposing ideologies fostered deep mistrust and antagonism, as each side viewed the other's system as a threat to its own way of life and global influence.

Capitalism and Democratic Values

Capitalism in the United States emphasized individual freedoms, political pluralism, and open markets. The American government and society believed in promoting democracy worldwide as a means of securing peace and prosperity. This ideological commitment led to policies aimed at containing communism and supporting democratic allies.

Communism and Authoritarian Control

The Soviet Union's communist ideology involved strict political control by the Communist Party and the suppression of political dissent. The USSR sought to expand its influence by supporting communist movements globally, viewing capitalism as an exploitative and imperialist system that needed to be challenged.

Impact of World War II and Wartime Alliances

The conclusion of World War II set the stage for the Cold War, as the wartime alliance between the United States and the Soviet Union was marked by cooperation out of necessity rather than genuine trust. The wartime partnership dissolved quickly after victory, revealing deep-seated suspicions and conflicting postwar ambitions.

Yalta and Potsdam Conferences

During the Yalta and Potsdam Conferences, Allied leaders sought to negotiate the postwar order. However, disagreements over the fate of Eastern Europe, particularly Poland, fueled tensions. The Soviet insistence on establishing pro-communist governments in Eastern Europe clashed with American and British calls for free elections, intensifying mistrust.

End of the Alliance and Emerging Rivalries

Once the common enemy of Nazi Germany was defeated, the alliance unraveled. The U.S. became concerned about Soviet expansionism, while the USSR feared encirclement and capitalist aggression. These diverging perspectives contributed significantly to the onset of Cold War confrontations.

Political and Military Rivalries

Political and military competition became defining features of the Cold War. Both superpowers sought to expand their spheres of influence and establish strategic advantages through alliances, military build-ups, and proxy conflicts.

Formation of Military Alliances

The United States led the creation of NATO (North Atlantic Treaty Organization) in 1949 as a collective defense pact against Soviet aggression. In response, the Soviet Union established the Warsaw Pact in 1955, solidifying the division of Europe into opposing military blocs.

Proxy Wars and Global Influence

Direct military confrontation between the superpowers was avoided, but the Cold War was marked by proxy wars in Korea, Vietnam, and Afghanistan. These conflicts illustrated the global reach of the

rivalry, as both sides sought to support aligned governments or revolutionary movements to extend their influence.

Economic Factors and Reconstruction Efforts

Economic considerations played a crucial role in the causes of the Cold War. The contrasting approaches to postwar reconstruction and economic aid deepened divisions between East and West.

The Marshall Plan

The United States implemented the Marshall Plan to aid Western Europe's economic recovery, providing billions in financial assistance. This plan aimed to rebuild war-torn economies, prevent the spread of communism, and create markets for American goods. The Soviet Union viewed the Marshall Plan as an attempt to undermine its influence and refused participation for Eastern Bloc countries.

Soviet Economic Policies

The USSR focused on rebuilding through centralized planning and control of its satellite states. The economic divide between capitalist Western Europe and communist Eastern Europe mirrored the broader ideological and political split, reinforcing the Cold War's economic dimension.

Role of Nuclear Weapons and Arms Race

The development and proliferation of nuclear weapons significantly influenced the causes of the Cold War. The existence of these weapons created a climate of fear and competition, shaping diplomatic and military strategies.

Atomic Bomb and Initial Nuclear Monopoly

The United States' use of atomic bombs in 1945 demonstrated devastating military power and initially gave America a nuclear monopoly. This technological advantage emboldened U.S. foreign policy but also alarmed the Soviet leadership.

Soviet Nuclear Development and Arms Race

By 1949, the Soviet Union successfully tested its own atomic bomb, ending the American monopoly and triggering an arms race. Both superpowers rapidly expanded their nuclear arsenals, leading to doctrines of deterrence and policies of mutually assured destruction (MAD).

Impact on International Relations

The nuclear arms race heightened tensions and contributed to crises such as the Berlin Blockade, the Korean War, and the Cuban Missile Crisis. The persistent threat of nuclear war underscored the urgency of the ideological and strategic competition that defined the Cold War era.

Summary of Key Causes

- Deep ideological opposition between capitalism and communism
- Deterioration of wartime alliances and distrust over postwar plans
- Political and military competition, including formation of rival alliances
- Economic reconstruction policies and conflicting visions for Europe
- Nuclear weapons development and the ensuing arms race

Frequently Asked Questions

What were the primary ideological differences that caused the Cold War?

The Cold War was primarily caused by the ideological clash between the United States' capitalist democracy and the Soviet Union's communist dictatorship, leading to mutual distrust and competition for global influence.

How did the outcomes of World War II contribute to the start of the Cold War?

The power vacuum and territorial disputes following World War II, along with the division of Germany and Eastern Europe into Soviet and Western spheres, heightened tensions and mistrust, setting the stage for the Cold War.

What role did nuclear weapons play in causing the Cold War?

The development and possession of nuclear weapons by both the United States and the Soviet Union created a dangerous arms race and a balance of terror, intensifying the rivalry and fear that fueled the Cold War.

How did the conflicting goals of the US and USSR in Eastern

Europe lead to the Cold War?

The US aimed to promote democracy and open markets, while the USSR sought to establish communist governments as a buffer zone in Eastern Europe, resulting in political confrontations and the division of Europe.

In what way did the Truman Doctrine contribute to the causes of the Cold War?

The Truman Doctrine, which promised US support to countries resisting communism, was seen by the USSR as aggressive containment policy, escalating tensions and deepening the Cold War divide.

How did the formation of military alliances like NATO and the Warsaw Pact influence the Cold War?

The creation of NATO by the West and the Warsaw Pact by the Soviet bloc formalized the division of Europe into hostile camps, institutionalizing the rivalry and increasing the likelihood of conflict during the Cold War.

What economic factors contributed to the start of the Cold War?

The US promoted free-market capitalism and economic aid programs like the Marshall Plan to rebuild Europe, which the USSR viewed as a threat to its control, leading to economic competition and suspicion between the superpowers.

How did mutual distrust and espionage activities cause the Cold War?

Espionage, propaganda, and mutual suspicion fueled paranoia on both sides, with each perceiving the other as an existential threat, which perpetuated the hostile atmosphere and prolonged the Cold War.

Additional Resources

Cold War.

1. "The Cold War: A New History" by John Lewis Gaddis

This book provides a comprehensive overview of the Cold War, focusing on the ideological, political, and economic causes that led to the intense rivalry between the United States and the Soviet Union. Gaddis highlights the post-World War II power vacuum and mutual suspicions that fueled the conflict. The author also emphasizes the role of individual leaders and their decisions in escalating tensions.

2. "The Origins of the Cold War, 1941-1949" by Martin McCauley

McCauley explores the early years of the Cold War, analyzing how wartime alliances deteriorated into hostility. The book examines key events such as the Yalta and Potsdam Conferences, the Soviet takeover of Eastern Europe, and the U.S. response through policies like the Truman Doctrine. It provides insight into how ideological differences and security concerns contributed to the onset of the

3. "Iron Curtain: The Cold War in Europe" by Anne Deighton
This volume focuses on the division of Europe and the political causes behind the establishment of the

Iron Curtain. Deighton discusses Soviet expansionism, Western fears of communism, and the resulting formation of opposing military alliances. The book sheds light on how these developments entrenched the Cold War in the European continent.

- 4. "The Global Cold War: Third World Interventions and the Making of Our Times" by Odd Arne Westad Westad broadens the perspective by examining the Cold War's causes beyond Europe, emphasizing the global struggle for influence. The book explores how ideological competition between capitalism and communism played out in Asia, Africa, and Latin America. It argues that the Cold War was deeply rooted in the decolonization process and emerging nationalist movements.
- 5. "Stalin and the Cold War in Europe: The Emergence and Development of East-West Conflict, 1939-1953" by David Reynolds

Reynolds analyzes Stalin's role in shaping the early Cold War dynamics. The book details Soviet strategies to secure influence in Eastern Europe and the West's reactions to these moves. It highlights how Stalin's policies and paranoia about Western intentions contributed significantly to the Cold War's outbreak.

6. "The Cold War and the Color Line: American Race Relations in the Global Arena" by Thomas Borstelmann

Borstelmann investigates the intersection of Cold War politics and race relations in the United States. The book argues that domestic racial issues influenced American foreign policy and were used as a propaganda tool against the Soviet Union. This perspective adds a unique dimension to understanding the socio-political causes behind Cold War tensions.

- 7. "The United States and the Origins of the Cold War, 1941-1947" by John Lewis Gaddis
 In this detailed study, Gaddis focuses on American policies and perceptions that contributed to the
 Cold War's beginnings. The book covers the transition from wartime cooperation to suspicion and
 hostility, emphasizing the impact of U.S. economic and military strategies. It also explores how
 American ideological commitment to democracy and capitalism clashed with Soviet communism.
- 8. "The Cold War: A Military History" by David Miller
 Miller's book examines the military aspects and strategic decisions that were crucial in the Cold War's formation. It delves into the arms race, nuclear deterrence, and key confrontations that exemplified the competition. The narrative links military preparedness and alliances to the underlying political causes of the Cold War.
- 9. "The Cambridge History of the Cold War" edited by Melvyn P. Leffler and Odd Arne Westad This comprehensive collection brings together essays from leading scholars that explore the Cold War's causes from multiple angles. Covering diplomatic, ideological, economic, and cultural factors, the book offers a nuanced understanding of why the Cold War emerged. It is an essential resource for grasping the complexity of the conflict's origins.

Causes Of The Cold War

Find other PDF articles:

http://www.speargroupllc.com/business-suggest-023/pdf?ID=osW55-1585&title=oracle-suite-e-busin

causes of the cold war: The Causes of The Cold War Alex Kerr, 2023-07-12 This comprehensive A-level history textbook provides an insightful examination of the complex causes that led to the Cold War, a pivotal period in global history. Tailored specifically for the Cambridge International curriculum, this book offers a thorough analysis of the political, ideological, and geopolitical factors that fueled the East-West divide after World War II. Written by experienced Teacher and Historian, Alex Kerr, The Causes of the Cold War explores the origins and development of this enduring conflict, drawing on a wide range of primary and secondary sources to provide students with a deep understanding of the key events, personalities, and ideologies that shaped this era. Covering topics such as the ideological differences between the United States and the Soviet Union, the impact of World War II on international relations, the division of Europe, and the strategies of containment and deterrence, this text provides students with a solid foundation for understanding the causes and consequences of the Cold War. With engaging narrative, critical analysis, and thought-provoking questions, this textbook fosters historical thinking skills, encourages evaluation of differing interpretations, and prompts students to develop their own arguments and perspectives. It also includes relevant primary source material to stimulate class discussions and independent research.

causes of the cold war: Cold War Theories Kenneth W. Thompson, 1991-09-01 In this first of a two-volume examination of the Cold War, Kenneth Thompson offers a broad and, at the same time, specific account of its history and its historians. Thompson's aim is to find the best framework for understanding how the Cold War originated, what forces and factors produced it, how Soviet and American policies intensified the conflict, and what alternatives were open to the rivals. He evenhandedly sets forth three competing theories of the Cold War—the orthodox, revisionist, and critical/interpretative views—and reveals how the ideological confines of certain interpretations have made for incomplete understanding. Calling upon some of the great thinkers of our century, Thompson shows that orthodox and revisionist historians alike are misled by their exaggerated estimates of national capacity and interests. Volume I follows the course of the Cold War from the end of World War II and America demobilization through the war in Korea. Tracing the influence of the theories on policy makers, Thompson finds missed opportunities and unintentional acts of belligerence during such tense times as the debates over Poland, Iran, the Truman Doctrine, the Marshall Plan, NATO, and the Berlin Blockade. By joining political history with the theoretical approaches, the author seeks to show that theory and history ought to be conjoined in a study of the Cold War without minimizing the value of each separate outlook. In its widest sense Cold War Theories is about the nature of history—that intricate tapestry that stretches past out limits to see. In discussing the early period in the Cold War, Thompson keeps his eye on possible parallels and differences with the present era marked by the conflicts in Iran and Afghanistan. Throughout his presentation, Thompson keeps in mind that we are entering a new era of intense conflict in the Cold War wherein we can ill afford any form of dogmatism: "Not only is reality more complex than ideology, but change is the first law of the political universe."

causes of the cold war: Stalin's Cold War Caroline Kennedy-Pipe, 1995 In the first analysis of the start of the Cold War from a Soviet viewpoint, Caroline Kennedy-Pipe draws on Russian source material to reach some startling conclusions. She challenges the prevailing orthodoxy of Western historians to show how Moscow saw the presence of US troops in Europe in the 1940s and early 1950s as advantageous rather than as a check on Soviet ambitions. The author points to a complex web of concerns than fuelled Moscow's actions, and explores how the Soviet leadership, and Stalin in particular, responded to American policy. She shows how the Soviet experience of the United States and Europe, both before, during and after the Second World War, led Moscow to a policy that was not simply fuelled by anti-Americanism. Six chapters cover events from the wartime conferences of 1943 until the death of Stalin. A final chapter places the book in the context of the current debate

over the causes of the Cold War.

causes of the cold war: The Causes of the Cold War and the American Point of View Verena Fleissig, 2001

causes of the cold war: War and Its Causes Jeremy Black, 2019-01-21 This interdisciplinary book provides an invaluable perspective on the causes of war, drawing on a thoughtful consideration of what war actually is—the key foundation for an analysis of its causes. Jeremy Black assesses the three main types of war—between cultures, within cultures, and civil—emphasizing the social and cultural factors leading to conflict. He argues that cultural factors have always been the key element, especially aggression in the shape of a willingness to kill and be killed, which alters rational assumptions of risk and overcomes deterrence. He assesses the predisposition of ideologies to think and act in terms of conflict, the functional dynamics of international relations systems, and the strengths and failures of diplomacy. Drawing on research from history, political science, and international relations, Black marshals global examples spanning the fifteenth century to the present. Contrasting wars within cultures and wars between cultures he considers the implications for ongoing and future conflict.

causes of the cold war: The Cold War Jussi M. Hanhimäki, Odd Arne Westad, 2004 The Cold War contains a selection of official and unofficial documents which provide a truly multi-faceted account of the entire Cold War era. The final selection of documents illustrates the global impact of the Cold War to the present day, and establishes links between the Cold War and the events of 11th September 2001.

causes of the cold war: Conflict After the Cold War Richard K. Betts, 2017-03-27 Edited by one of the most renowned scholars in the field, Richard Betts' Conflict After the Cold War assembles classic and contemporary readings on enduring problems of international security. Offering broad historical and philosophical breadth, the carefully chosen and excerpted selections in this popular reader help students engage key debates over the future of war and the new forms that violent conflict will take. Conflict After the Cold War encourages closer scrutiny of the political, economic, social, and military factors that drive war and peace. New to the Fifth Edition: Original introductions to each of 10 major parts as well as to the book as a whole have been updated by the author. An entirely new section (Part IX) on Threat Assessment and Misjudgment explores fundamental problems in diagnosing danger, understanding strategic choices, and measuring costs against benefits in wars over limited stakes. 12 new readings have been added or revised: Fred C. Iklé, The Dark Side of Progress G. John Ikenberry, China's Choice Kenneth N. Waltz, Why Nuclear Proliferation May Be Good Daniel Byman, Drones: Technology Serves Strategy Audrey Kurth Cronin, Drones: Tactics Undermine Strategy Eyre Crowe and Thomas Sanderson, The German Threat? 1907 Neville Henderson, The German Threat? 1938 Vladimir Putin, The Threat to Ukraine from the West Eliot A. Cohen, The Russian Threat James C. Thomson, Jr., How Could Vietnam Happen? An Autopsy Stephen Biddle, Afghanistan's Legacy Martin C. Libicki, Why Cyberdeterrence is Different

causes of the cold war: Causes of International Migration Bob Vandererf, Liesbeth Heering, 1996 Reviews the current state of the art in research on the causes of international migration, & to prepare scientifically for the organization & execution of migration surveys & analytical studious in sending & receiving countries. Contains: determinants of internat'l. migration: theoretical approaches & an inventory for research; data availability; modeling internat'l. migration: econ. & econometric issues; Turkish migration to Western Europe; Sub-Saharan Africa; Migrations in Lithuania, Poland & the Ukraine; the future of East-West Migration, & more.

causes of the cold war: Conflict After the Cold War Richard Betts, 2021-12-30 Edited by one of the most renowned scholars in the field, Richard K. Betts's Conflict After the Cold War assembles classic and contemporary readings on enduring problems of international security. Offering broad historical and philosophical breadth, the carefully chosen and excerpted selections in this popular reader help students engage in key debates over the future of war and the new forms that violent conflict will take. Conflict After the Cold War encourages closer scrutiny of the political, economic, social, and military factors that drive war and peace. New to the Sixth Edition Eight new readings

covering issues that have grown in salience since the previous edition or that present new interpretations of answers to old problems, including pieces by Robert Kagan, Edward O. Wilson, Scott D. Sagan, Robert Jervis and Jason Healey, Jacqueline L. Hazelton, Oystein Tunsjo, and Michael Beckley. Updated volume and chapter introductions and a new reading by Richard K. Betts.

causes of the cold war: In Search of Theory Richard W. Mansbach, John A. Vasquez, 1981 causes of the cold war: The Causes of the Cold War Stewart Ross, 2002 Explores the rivalry and tensions between the United States and the Soviet Union stemming from their differing ideologies, and discusses the resulting events during the decade after World War II.

causes of the cold war: History and Government Form 4,

causes of the cold war: *S. Chand's ICSE History and Civics for X (2021 Edition)* S.Chand Experts, ICSE History and Civics for Class X

causes of the cold war: Domestic Causes of American Wars Ivan Eland, 2025-08-01 Domestic Causes of American Wars offers a unique and critical take on the causes of major American wars throughout its history. Unlike most histories that designate foreign threats as casus belli, this work examines their important underlying economic triggers, reaching the striking conclusion that many were unnecessary for national security nor were they as heroic in upholding American values as commonly concluded. Further, conventional histories often dwell on the positive outcomes of those wars rather than on their much more important domestic ill effects—the erosion of the American founders' constitution and of the civil liberties and constitutional checks and balances therein, while enabling the rise of an imperial presidency. This historical volume addresses those often-buried domestic causes and effects, in particular how the American elections cycle often affects U.S. entry into wars and how economic motives incentivize war. America's early wars - the 1812 war against Canada, the Mexican war, the wars against Native Americans - all concerned territorial aggrandizement and acquisition of the rich resources therein. The industrial north fought the Civil War to prevent the expansion of the South's cheaper mode of production based on slavery into the expansive territories acquired during the Mexican War. The Spanish American war marked the U.S. lift off beyond its new domestic borders, in pursuit of domination and exploitation in Latin America and the acquisition of new territories overseas. The United States entered World War I to save its trade and loans with Britain and France. During World War II, a unique permanent U.S. military-industrial complex arose that lobbied for continued weapons production during peacetime to sustain its fragile local economies. Thus, by exaggerating the Soviet threat, pressures arose for military interventions in Korea and then Vietnam during the Cold War. The threat of terrorism similarly served to keep the war economy afloat during the post-Cold War era by an overly expansive war on terrorism. The prospect of accessing Irag's oil incentivized the war in that country. The need for ongoing wars to feed the voracious appetite of the military industrial complex through billions of dollars of arms sales has been an ever-present factor in the wars in Afghanistan and Ukraine

causes of the cold war: Thinking Historically Francis J. Gavin, 2025-09-02 A compelling and insightful argument for historical study as a way to understand and navigate the complex, often confusing world of decision-making It seems obvious that we should use history to improve policy. If we have a good understanding of the past, it should enable better decisions in the present,

especially in the extraordinarily consequential worlds of statecraft and strategy. But how do we gain that knowledge? How should history be used? Sadly, it is rarely done well, and historians and decision-makers seldom interact. But in this remarkable book, Francis J. Gavin explains the many ways historical knowledge can help us understand and navigate the complex, often confusing world around us. Good historical work convincingly captures the challenges and complexities the decisionmaker faces. At its most useful, history is less a narrowly defined field of study than a practice, a mental awareness, a discernment, and a responsiveness to the past and how it unfolded into our present world--a discipline in the best sense of the word. Gavin demonstrates how a historical sensibility helps us to appreciate the unexpected; complicates our assumptions; makes the unfamiliar familiar and the familiar unfamiliar; and requires us, without entirely suspending moral judgment, to try to understand others on their own terms. This book is a powerful argument for thinking historically as a way for readers to apply wisdom in encountering what is foreign to them.

causes of the cold war: The Last 100 Years (give or take) and All That Al Murray, 2020-10-29 A fascinating and hilarious gallop through twentieth-century British history, by comedian Al Murray. An awful lot has happened in the last 100 years or so. In fact, when you look at how much went on in the 20th century, it's amazing it didn't take longer than that. And what have we learnt? A few obvious lessons include: megalomaniac men with moustaches in charge of countries tend to turn out to be BAD; anyone who thinks they can explain let alone sort out the Middle East is WRONG; France simply cannot be relied upon; America may or may not be the cause of everything GOOD and BAD in the world (depending on who you ask). This isn't your bog-standard history book. We all know that history books (Which Shall Not be Questioned because they ARE ALL TRUE according to our History Teachers of Yore) are dry and dull, and they go on as if there's only ONE version of history (spoiler: it's all about perspective). Enter Al Murray, alter-ego of everyone's favourite Pub Landlord. Al knows his way around 20th century Britain, and he's good enough to illuminate it for you. From the Big Bang of the 20th Century, DOUBLEYOUDOUBLEYOU ONE, to the eve of the new Millennium (when all the computers in the World DIDN'T stop working and the Queen had to do the Hokey Cokey with Tony Blair) and all the forgotten tales in between, this is a brilliantly funny, irreverent and eye-opening whistle-stop tour of Britain since 1914.

causes of the cold war: The Columbia Companion to American History on Film Peter C. Rollins, 2004-03-24 American history has always been an irresistible source of inspiration for filmmakers, and today, for good or ill, most Americans's ense of the past likely comes more from Hollywood than from the works of historians. In important films such as The Birth of a Nation (1915), Roots (1977), Apocalypse Now (1979), and Saving Private Ryan (1998), how much is entertainment and how much is rooted in historical fact? In The Columbia Companion to American History on Film, more than seventy scholars consider the gap between history and Hollywood. They examine how filmmakers have presented and interpreted the most important events, topics, eras, and figures in the American past, often comparing the film versions of events with the interpretations of the best historians who have explored the topic. Divided into eight broad categories—Eras; Wars and Other Major Events; Notable People; Groups; Institutions and Movements; Places; Themes and Topics; and Myths and Heroes—the volume features extensive cross-references, a filmography (of discussed and relevant films), notes, and a bibliography of selected historical works on each subject. The Columbia Companion to American History on Film is also an important resource for teachers, with extensive information for research or for course development appropriate for both high school and college students. Though each essay reflects the unique body of film and print works covering the subject at hand, every essay addresses several fundamental questions: What are the key films on this topic? What sources did the filmmaker use, and how did the film deviate (or remain true to) its sources? How have film interpretations of a particular historical topic changed, and what sorts of factors—technological, social, political, historiographical—have affected their evolution? Have filmmakers altered the historical record with a view to enhancing drama or to enhance the truth of their putative message?

causes of the cold war: The SAGE Encyclopedia of Surveillance, Security, and Privacy Bruce A.

Arrigo, 2016-06-15 In all levels of social structure, from the personal to the political to the economic to the judicial, The SAGE Encyclopedia of Surveillance, Security and Privacy uncovers and explains how surveillance has come to be an integral part of how our contemporary society operates worldwide.

causes of the cold war: The End of the Cold War and the Causes of Soviet Collapse N. Bisley, 2004-04-30 Soviet efforts to end the Cold War were intended to help revitalize the USSR. Instead, Nick Bisley argues, they contributed crucially to its collapse. Using historical-sociological theory, The End of the Cold War and the Causes of Soviet Collapse shows that international confrontation had been an important element of Soviet rule and that the retreat from this confrontational posture weakened institutional-functional aspects of the state. This played a vital role in making the USSR vulnerable to the forces of economic crisis, elite fragmentation and nationalism which ultimately caused its collapse.

Related to causes of the cold war

grammar - When should I use "cause" and "causes"? - English I dont understand when to use the "cause" and the "causes". what is the difference? I am writing this book review, and really need some help with this. The sentence im struggling

"cause" or "causes"? - English Language Learners Stack Exchange Or: Is this the only factor that causes such tragedies? In that form, the singular factor matches with the verb causes. Your sentence mixes the plural rooms with the singular factor, making it

word choice - What causes X or What does cause X? - English What causes coral bleaching? What does cause coral bleaching? What is the difference?? Which is grammatically correct?

"causes of" or "causes for" - English Language Learners Stack If you simply want to say the person or thing that makes something happen, you say 'cause of'; but if you want to say a reason for having particular feelings or behaving in a

Using makes or causes - English Language Learners Stack Exchange The drug causes an adverse reaction in patients with a history of heart disease. So why "make" not "cause"? As Robusto says in the above comment, "make" just sounds less forceful and

cause vs case (in law) - English Language Learners Stack Exchange The "law" definition for cause is highly domain-specific. Hardly anyone who doesn't work in the legal profession would know it. If you don't, you can ignore it too. I have no idea

modal verbs - Is "which may causes" the correct phrase? - English Here I've formed a phrase "Organic former usually use natural pesticides and fertilizers instead using chemical pesticide which may causes economic damage to agricultural

'is cause' vs. 'it causes' - English Language Learners Stack Exchange In the grammar test below, Why option 3 is not correct? Only where market failure occurs ----- to worry, and even such failure may tend to excessive conservation. 1)is there perhaps cause (

When we use 'to cause to be'? - English Language Learners Stack As your link says, "to cause to be" is a definition of the word "make". As such, the phrase and the word can be fairly interchangeable when used that way. "The jalapenos caused my salsa to be

word choice - English Language Learners Stack Exchange Good question! "Caused" is the verb that works with the sentence as it is. Although either would work with the correct sentence. The structure is different but the meaning is the same. What

grammar - When should I use "cause" and "causes"? - English I dont understand when to use the "cause" and the "causes". what is the difference? I am writing this book review, and really need some help with this. The sentence im struggling

"cause" or "causes"? - English Language Learners Stack Exchange Or: Is this the only factor that causes such tragedies? In that form, the singular factor matches with the verb causes. Your sentence mixes the plural rooms with the singular factor, making it

word choice - What causes X or What does cause X? - English What causes coral bleaching? What does cause coral bleaching? What is the difference?? Which is grammatically correct?

- "causes of" or "causes for" English Language Learners Stack If you simply want to say the person or thing that makes something happen, you say 'cause of'; but if you want to say a reason for having particular feelings or behaving in a
- **Using makes or causes English Language Learners Stack Exchange** The drug causes an adverse reaction in patients with a history of heart disease. So why "make" not "cause"? As Robusto says in the above comment, "make" just sounds less forceful and
- cause vs case (in law) English Language Learners Stack Exchange The "law" definition for cause is highly domain-specific. Hardly anyone who doesn't work in the legal profession would know it. If you don't, you can ignore it too. I have no idea
- **modal verbs Is "which may causes" the correct phrase? English** Here I've formed a phrase "Organic former usually use natural pesticides and fertilizers instead using chemical pesticide which may causes economic damage to agricultural
- 'is cause' vs. 'it causes' English Language Learners Stack Exchange In the grammar test below, Why option 3 is not correct? Only where market failure occurs ----- to worry, and even such failure may tend to excessive conservation. 1)is there perhaps cause (
- When we use 'to cause to be'? English Language Learners Stack As your link says, "to cause to be" is a definition of the word "make". As such, the phrase and the word can be fairly interchangeable when used that way. "The jalapenos caused my salsa to be
- word choice English Language Learners Stack Exchange Good question! "Caused" is the verb that works with the sentence as it is. Although either would work with the correct sentence. The structure is different but the meaning is the same. What
- **grammar When should I use "cause" and "causes"? English** I dont understand when to use the "cause" and the "causes". what is the difference? I am writing this book review, and really need some help with this. The sentence im struggling
- "cause" or "causes"? English Language Learners Stack Exchange Or: Is this the only factor that causes such tragedies? In that form, the singular factor matches with the verb causes. Your sentence mixes the plural rooms with the singular factor, making it
- word choice What causes X or What does cause X? English What causes coral bleaching? What does cause coral bleaching? What is the difference?? Which is grammatically correct?
- "causes of" or "causes for" English Language Learners Stack If you simply want to say the person or thing that makes something happen, you say 'cause of'; but if you want to say a reason for having particular feelings or behaving in a
- **Using makes or causes English Language Learners Stack Exchange** The drug causes an adverse reaction in patients with a history of heart disease. So why "make" not "cause"? As Robusto says in the above comment, "make" just sounds less forceful and
- cause vs case (in law) English Language Learners Stack Exchange The "law" definition for cause is highly domain-specific. Hardly anyone who doesn't work in the legal profession would know it. If you don't, you can ignore it too. I have no idea
- **modal verbs Is "which may causes" the correct phrase? English** Here I've formed a phrase "Organic former usually use natural pesticides and fertilizers instead using chemical pesticide which may causes economic damage to agricultural
- 'is cause' vs. 'it causes' English Language Learners Stack Exchange In the grammar test below, Why option 3 is not correct? Only where market failure occurs ----- to worry, and even such failure may tend to excessive conservation. 1)is there perhaps cause (
- When we use 'to cause to be'? English Language Learners Stack As your link says, "to cause to be" is a definition of the word "make". As such, the phrase and the word can be fairly interchangeable when used that way. "The jalapenos caused my salsa to be
- word choice English Language Learners Stack Exchange Good question! "Caused" is the verb that works with the sentence as it is. Although either would work with the correct sentence. The structure is different but the meaning is the same. What
- grammar When should I use "cause" and "causes"? English I dont understand when to use

the "cause" and the "causes". what is the difference? I am writing this book review, and really need some help with this. The sentence im struggling

"cause" or "causes"? - English Language Learners Stack Exchange Or: Is this the only factor that causes such tragedies? In that form, the singular factor matches with the verb causes. Your sentence mixes the plural rooms with the singular factor, making it

word choice - What causes X or What does cause X? - English What causes coral bleaching? What does cause coral bleaching? What is the difference?? Which is grammatically correct?

"causes of" or "causes for" - English Language Learners Stack If you simply want to say the person or thing that makes something happen, you say 'cause of'; but if you want to say a reason for having particular feelings or behaving in a

Using makes or causes - English Language Learners Stack Exchange The drug causes an adverse reaction in patients with a history of heart disease. So why "make" not "cause"? As Robusto says in the above comment, "make" just sounds less forceful and

cause vs case (in law) - English Language Learners Stack Exchange The "law" definition for cause is highly domain-specific. Hardly anyone who doesn't work in the legal profession would know it. If you don't, you can ignore it too. I have no idea

modal verbs - Is "which may causes" the correct phrase? - English Here I've formed a phrase "Organic former usually use natural pesticides and fertilizers instead using chemical pesticide which may causes economic damage to agricultural

'is cause' vs. 'it causes' - English Language Learners Stack Exchange In the grammar test below, Why option 3 is not correct? Only where market failure occurs ----- to worry, and even such failure may tend to excessive conservation. 1)is there perhaps cause (

When we use 'to cause to be'? - English Language Learners Stack As your link says, "to cause to be" is a definition of the word "make". As such, the phrase and the word can be fairly interchangeable when used that way. "The jalapenos caused my salsa to be

word choice - English Language Learners Stack Exchange Good question! "Caused" is the verb that works with the sentence as it is. Although either would work with the correct sentence. The structure is different but the meaning is the same. What

grammar - When should I use "cause" and "causes"? - English I dont understand when to use the "cause" and the "causes". what is the difference? I am writing this book review, and really need some help with this. The sentence im struggling

"cause" or "causes"? - English Language Learners Stack Exchange Or: Is this the only factor that causes such tragedies? In that form, the singular factor matches with the verb causes. Your sentence mixes the plural rooms with the singular factor, making it

word choice - What causes X or What does cause X? - English What causes coral bleaching? What does cause coral bleaching? What is the difference?? Which is grammatically correct?

"causes of" or "causes for" - English Language Learners Stack If you simply want to say the person or thing that makes something happen, you say 'cause of'; but if you want to say a reason for having particular feelings or behaving in a

Using makes or causes - English Language Learners Stack Exchange The drug causes an adverse reaction in patients with a history of heart disease. So why "make" not "cause"? As Robusto says in the above comment, "make" just sounds less forceful and

cause vs case (in law) - English Language Learners Stack Exchange The "law" definition for cause is highly domain-specific. Hardly anyone who doesn't work in the legal profession would know it. If you don't, you can ignore it too. I have no idea

modal verbs - Is "which may causes" the correct phrase? - English Here I've formed a phrase "Organic former usually use natural pesticides and fertilizers instead using chemical pesticide which may causes economic damage to agricultural

'is cause' vs. 'it causes' - English Language Learners Stack Exchange In the grammar test below, Why option 3 is not correct? Only where market failure occurs ----- to worry, and even such failure may tend to excessive conservation. 1)is there perhaps cause (

When we use 'to cause to be'? - English Language Learners Stack As your link says, "to cause to be" is a definition of the word "make". As such, the phrase and the word can be fairly interchangeable when used that way. "The jalapenos caused my salsa to be

word choice - English Language Learners Stack Exchange Good question! "Caused" is the verb that works with the sentence as it is. Although either would work with the correct sentence. The structure is different but the meaning is the same. What

Related to causes of the cold war

The Cold War Never Ended (The National Interest13dOpinion) While 1991 is often given as the end of the Cold War, the truth is that the Cold War never ended; it just paused before **The Cold War Never Ended** (The National Interest13dOpinion) While 1991 is often given as the

The Cold War Never Ended (The National Interest13dOpinion) While 1991 is often given as the end of the Cold War, the truth is that the Cold War never ended; it just paused before

China at UN warns of return to 'Cold War mentality' (Agence France-Presse on MSN3d) Chinese Premier Li Qiang warned Friday against a return to a "Cold War mentality" and defended multilateralism and free trade

China at UN warns of return to 'Cold War mentality' (Agence France-Presse on MSN3d) Chinese Premier Li Qiang warned Friday against a return to a "Cold War mentality" and defended multilateralism and free trade

The surprising lessons of a secret cold-war nuclear programme (The Economist2mon) IN THE DEPTHS of the cold war, American spooks and generals came to suspect that the nuclear-weapons club was about to gain an incongruous new member. That state was Sweden, a neutral power that sat

The surprising lessons of a secret cold-war nuclear programme (The Economist2mon) IN THE DEPTHS of the cold war, American spooks and generals came to suspect that the nuclear-weapons club was about to gain an incongruous new member. That state was Sweden, a neutral power that sat

The Cold War nuclear test that turned the sky crimson (Task & Purpose7mon) The military wanted to test the electromagnetic impacts of nuclear weapons in space. In 1962 they lit up the sky for hundreds of miles. By Nicholas Slayton Published 8:07 AM EST During

The Cold War nuclear test that turned the sky crimson (Task & Purpose7mon) The military wanted to test the electromagnetic impacts of nuclear weapons in space. In 1962 they lit up the sky for hundreds of miles. By Nicholas Slayton Published 8:07 AM EST During

Chinese Premier Li Qiang warns against 'cold war mentality' in veiled critique of US at UN (3d) Chinese Premier Li Qiang warned against a return to a "Cold War mentality" and defended multilateralism and free trade, in remarks that were seen as a veiled criticism of the United States at the

Chinese Premier Li Qiang warns against 'cold war mentality' in veiled critique of US at UN (3d) Chinese Premier Li Qiang warned against a return to a "Cold War mentality" and defended multilateralism and free trade, in remarks that were seen as a veiled criticism of the United States at the

Potential Environmental Effects of Nuclear War — New Report (National Academies of Sciences%2c Engineering%2c and Medicine3mon) A new congressionally mandated report from the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine examines the potential environmental, social, and economic effects that could unfold over the

Potential Environmental Effects of Nuclear War — New Report (National Academies of Sciences%2c Engineering%2c and Medicine3mon) A new congressionally mandated report from the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine examines the potential environmental, social, and economic effects that could unfold over the

Back to Home: http://www.speargroupllc.com