

affirmative action

affirmative action refers to policies and practices designed to address historical and systemic discrimination by promoting opportunities for underrepresented groups in areas such as education, employment, and government contracting. This set of measures aims to foster diversity and equality by considering race, gender, ethnicity, or other marginalized characteristics when making decisions related to hiring, admissions, or contracting. Over time, affirmative action has become a highly debated topic, reflecting differing views on fairness, meritocracy, and social justice. This article provides a comprehensive overview of affirmative action, exploring its origins, legal framework, implementation strategies, benefits, criticisms, and recent developments. Readers will also gain insight into the impact of affirmative action on society and the ongoing challenges it faces. The sections below outline the key aspects of affirmative action to provide a thorough understanding of this complex and evolving subject.

- History and Origins of Affirmative Action
- Legal Framework and Key Court Cases
- Implementation in Education and Employment
- Benefits and Impact of Affirmative Action
- Criticisms and Controversies
- Recent Trends and Future Outlook

History and Origins of Affirmative Action

The concept of affirmative action originated in the United States during the civil rights movement of the 1960s as a response to persistent racial discrimination and inequality. It was formally introduced by President John F. Kennedy in 1961 through Executive Order 10925, which required government contractors to take "affirmative action" to ensure that applicants are employed without regard to race, creed, color, or national origin. This initiative was further expanded by subsequent presidents, notably Lyndon B. Johnson, who emphasized the importance of actively promoting equal opportunities for minorities and women. Affirmative action policies initially focused on correcting disparities in employment but soon extended to educational institutions and other sectors. The historical roots of affirmative action highlight its role as a corrective tool designed to create a more inclusive society and to dismantle barriers caused by systemic discrimination.

Legal Framework and Key Court Cases

Affirmative action is governed by a complex legal framework that has evolved through legislation and landmark Supreme Court decisions. The Civil Rights Act of 1964 laid the groundwork by prohibiting discrimination in employment and education, while Executive Orders expanded these protections. Over the years, several court rulings have shaped the implementation and limits of affirmative action programs.

Landmark Supreme Court Decisions

The Supreme Court has played a crucial role in defining the scope and constitutionality of affirmative action. Key cases include:

- **Regents of the University of California v. Bakke (1978):** The Court ruled that racial quotas in university admissions were unconstitutional but allowed race to be considered as one factor among others.
- **Grutter v. Bollinger (2003):** Affirmed that race-conscious admissions policies are permissible when they serve a compelling interest in achieving diversity.
- **Fisher v. University of Texas (2016):** Upheld the use of affirmative action in higher education under strict scrutiny to ensure the policies are narrowly tailored.
- **Students for Fair Admissions v. Harvard (2023):** Challenged the use of race in admissions, prompting ongoing debate and potential shifts in affirmative action policy.

These decisions reflect the delicate balance between promoting diversity and preventing reverse discrimination, continually influencing the legal landscape of affirmative action.

Implementation in Education and Employment

Affirmative action is most prominently applied in the realms of education and employment, where it seeks to level the playing field for underrepresented groups.

Educational Institutions

Colleges and universities use affirmative action to promote diverse student bodies, which are believed to enhance the educational experience and prepare students for a diverse workforce. Admissions policies may consider race, ethnicity, socioeconomic status, and other factors to ensure equitable

access. These programs often involve holistic review processes that evaluate applicants beyond test scores and grades.

Employment Practices

In the workplace, affirmative action involves proactive recruitment, training, and promotion strategies aimed at increasing representation of minorities and women. Employers may set goals and timetables to address disparities and provide equal opportunity in hiring and advancement. Government contractors, in particular, are subject to affirmative action requirements to foster workplace diversity.

Common Strategies in Affirmative Action Programs

- Targeted outreach and recruitment efforts
- Training and mentorship programs
- Setting diversity goals and monitoring progress
- Consideration of race or gender as one factor in decision-making

Benefits and Impact of Affirmative Action

Affirmative action has contributed to significant social and economic benefits by increasing diversity and promoting inclusion in education and employment. These benefits extend to individuals, institutions, and society as a whole.

Enhancement of Diversity

Diverse environments foster greater creativity, innovation, and cultural understanding. Affirmative action helps create opportunities for underrepresented groups, enriching classrooms and workplaces with varied perspectives.

Reduction of Socioeconomic Disparities

By providing access to quality education and employment, affirmative action programs can help reduce income and opportunity gaps linked to race and gender. This supports upward mobility and economic equity.

Promotion of Social Justice

Affirmative action acknowledges historical injustices and aims to rectify systemic barriers. It promotes fairness by leveling the playing field for marginalized communities, contributing to social cohesion.

List of Key Benefits

- Increased representation of minorities and women in higher education and workplaces
- Improved academic and professional outcomes for disadvantaged groups
- Promotion of equal opportunity and inclusion
- Strengthened institutional commitment to diversity

Criticisms and Controversies

Despite its intentions, affirmative action remains a contentious issue, facing criticism from various perspectives related to fairness, effectiveness, and legal concerns.

Claims of Reverse Discrimination

Opponents argue that affirmative action can result in unfair advantages for some groups at the expense of others, potentially violating principles of meritocracy and equal treatment. This has led to legal challenges and public debate.

Questioning the Merit-Based System

Critics contend that considering race or gender in admissions or hiring may compromise standards or overlook more qualified candidates. They argue for race-neutral alternatives to achieve diversity.

Effectiveness and Dependency Concerns

Some suggest that affirmative action may create reliance on preferential treatment rather than addressing root causes of inequality, such as quality of education or economic disparities.

Common Criticisms

- Potential stigmatization of beneficiaries
- Legal challenges and shifting judicial interpretations
- Debates over fairness and equal opportunity
- Concerns about long-term sustainability and impact

Recent Trends and Future Outlook

The landscape of affirmative action continues to evolve amid changing political, social, and legal contexts. Recent developments indicate a shift toward reconsidering traditional affirmative action policies and exploring alternative approaches.

Legal Challenges and Policy Changes

Several recent court cases have questioned the constitutionality of race-conscious admissions policies, leading some states and institutions to modify or eliminate affirmative action programs. These changes reflect ongoing tensions between diversity goals and legal constraints.

Emergence of Race-Neutral Alternatives

In response to legal pressures, some organizations have adopted race-neutral strategies such as socioeconomic-based preferences, enhanced outreach, and holistic reviews that do not explicitly consider race but aim to maintain diversity.

Global Perspectives

While affirmative action originated in the United States, other countries have implemented similar policies tailored to their unique social contexts. This global perspective provides insights into alternative models for promoting equity and inclusion.

Future Considerations

- Balancing diversity goals with legal and ethical standards

- Developing innovative, inclusive policies that address systemic inequality
- Monitoring and evaluating the impact of affirmative action and alternatives
- Engaging diverse stakeholders in policy development and implementation

Frequently Asked Questions

What is affirmative action?

Affirmative action refers to policies and practices designed to increase opportunities for historically marginalized groups in areas such as education and employment.

Why was affirmative action implemented?

Affirmative action was implemented to address historical discrimination and inequality faced by minorities and underrepresented groups, aiming to promote diversity and equal opportunity.

How does affirmative action work in college admissions?

In college admissions, affirmative action may involve considering race, ethnicity, or other factors as part of a holistic review process to promote a diverse student body.

What are some common arguments in favor of affirmative action?

Supporters argue that affirmative action helps level the playing field, promotes diversity, redresses past discrimination, and fosters social equality.

What are some criticisms of affirmative action?

Critics claim affirmative action can lead to reverse discrimination, undermine meritocracy, and stigmatize beneficiaries by implying they need special treatment.

Has affirmative action been challenged legally?

Yes, affirmative action policies have faced numerous legal challenges in the

United States, with landmark Supreme Court cases shaping its application and limitations.

Are affirmative action policies used outside the United States?

Yes, many countries have their own versions of affirmative action or similar policies aimed at promoting inclusion and equality for disadvantaged groups.

How does affirmative action impact workplace diversity?

Affirmative action can help increase representation of marginalized groups in the workplace, fostering a more inclusive and diverse environment.

What alternatives to affirmative action exist for promoting diversity?

Alternatives include socioeconomic-based admissions policies, outreach programs, improving K-12 education access, and blind recruitment processes.

Additional Resources

1. Affirmative Action: Racial Preference in Black and White

This book offers an in-depth analysis of affirmative action policies in the United States, examining their historical roots and the social and legal debates surrounding them. The author explores how affirmative action has impacted educational institutions and workplaces, focusing on the experiences of both beneficiaries and critics. It also delves into the evolving public opinion and legal challenges that shape the future of these policies.

2. The Shape of the River: Long-Term Consequences of Considering Race in College and University Admissions

Written by William G. Bowen and Derek Bok, this book presents extensive research on the effects of affirmative action in higher education. Using data from prestigious universities, the authors argue that affirmative action promotes diversity and benefits all students by fostering a richer educational environment. The book also addresses common criticisms and misconceptions about the policy.

3. Mismatch: How Affirmative Action Hurts Students It's Intended to Help, and Why Universities Won't Admit It

In this controversial work, Richard Sander and Stuart Taylor Jr. challenge the effectiveness of affirmative action in college admissions. They argue that placing students in academic environments where they are underprepared can lead to negative outcomes, such as lower grades and higher dropout rates. The book calls for a reevaluation of affirmative action policies to better

support students' success.

4. *The Affirmative Action Debate*

This collection of essays provides a balanced overview of the arguments for and against affirmative action. Contributors include scholars, policymakers, and activists who discuss the ethical, legal, and practical dimensions of the policy. The book is useful for readers seeking to understand the complexity of affirmative action from multiple perspectives.

5. *From Affirmative Action to Affirming Diversity*

This book traces the evolution of affirmative action into broader diversity initiatives within organizations and educational institutions. It highlights how the focus has shifted from simply rectifying past discrimination to embracing inclusion and multiculturalism. The author examines case studies that illustrate the benefits and challenges of affirming diversity in contemporary society.

6. *Colorblind: The Rise of Post-Racial Politics and the Retreat from Racial Equity*

Tim Wise critiques the idea that society has moved beyond race, arguing that colorblind policies often undermine efforts toward racial equity, including affirmative action. The book discusses how post-racial rhetoric can mask ongoing inequalities and stall progress. It calls for renewed commitment to policies that address systemic racism.

7. *Justice in the Shadow of the Law: Affirmative Action and Legal Debate*

This text explores the legal battles and Supreme Court decisions that have shaped affirmative action policies in the United States. It provides an accessible explanation of key cases and the constitutional principles involved. The book also considers the implications of these legal rulings for future affirmative action efforts.

8. *The Diversity Bargain: And Other Dilemmas of Race, Admissions, and Meritocracy at Elite Universities*

Evelyn Nakano Glenn examines how elite universities negotiate the tension between meritocratic ideals and diversity goals. The book reveals the complexities and contradictions inherent in affirmative action admissions processes. Through interviews and analysis, it sheds light on how race and merit are constructed and contested in higher education.

9. *Affirmative Action around the World: An Empirical Study*

This comparative study looks at affirmative action policies in various countries, analyzing their design, implementation, and outcomes. The authors investigate how different cultural, political, and legal contexts influence the effectiveness of these policies. The book provides valuable insights for policymakers and scholars interested in global approaches to affirmative action.

Affirmative Action

Find other PDF articles:

<http://www.speargroupplc.com/anatomy-suggest-003/files?ID=qDp86-9384&title=anatomy-physiology-and-terminology.pdf>

affirmative action: Affirmative Action Richard F. Tomasson, Faye J. Crosby, Sharon D. Herzberger, 2001 Hailed at the time of its original publication as a thorough and balanced debate of one of America's most vexing political issues, *Affirmative Action* employs a pro and con format to provide a concise introduction to this divisive debate. In a new, substantive introduction, Richard F. Tomasson offers a short history of the affirmative action debate and addresses new developments since the book's original appearance. In Part One, authors Crosby and Herzberger draw on state and federal court decisions, federal decrees, and university practices to support affirmative action to counter racial and gender bias. In Part Two, Tomasson cites the same kinds of evidence to argue against affirmative action programs.

affirmative action: Affirmative Action Kathiann M. Kowalski, 2007 Outlines the arguments of those both for and against affirmative action programs and the history behind such programs--Provided by publisher.

affirmative action: Affirmative Action Albert G. Mosley, Nicholas Capaldi, 1996 In this book, two distinguished philosophers debate one of the most controversial public policy issues of the late 20th century. Each begins by making a case for or against affirmative action, laying out the major arguments on both sides. Each author then responds to the other's essay. Written in an engaging, accessible style, *Affirmative Action* is an excellent text for junior level philosophy, political theory, public policy, and African-American studies courses as well as a guide for professionals navigating this important debate.

affirmative action: Affirmative Action M.J. Cosson, 2007-08-01 Discusses the controversial viewpoints regarding affirmative action.

affirmative action: Affirmative Action And Equal Opportunity Nijole V. Benokraitis, Joe R. Feagin, 1978-10-24

affirmative action: Racism and Justice Gertrude Ezorsky, 2018-08-06 Affirmative action: does it really counteract racism? Is it morally justifiable? In her timely and tough-minded book, Gertrude Ezorsky addresses these central issues in the ongoing controversy surrounding affirmative action, and comes up with some convincing answers. Ezorsky begins by examining the effectiveness of affirmative action as a remedy for institutional racism in the workplace. She analyzes the ways in which common practices-selection of employees based on personal connections, qualification, and seniority standards-perpetuate the injurious effect of past racial discrimination, and she assesses the rationale for such affirmative action measures as objective job-related testing, numerical goals, and preferential treatment for basically qualified blacks. To illuminate the social reality in which affirmative action takes place, she draws on recent work by social scientists and legal scholars. Turning to the moral issues, Ezorsky posits two basic justifications for affirmative action: first, looking backward-to provide deserved compensation for past racial injustice that was sanctioned, practiced, and encouraged by our government; second, looking forward-to promote racial desegregation in the American workplace. Unlike some supporters of affirmative action, she does not deny that preferential treatment may place an unfair burden on white males. Indeed, she suggests specific practical measures for spreading that burden more equitably. Clear-headed, well-reasoned, and persuasive, this book will be read eagerly by everyone from students to legislators, by anyone concerned with racial justice in America.

affirmative action: Backfire Robert Zelnick, 1996-06-01 The author examines the controversial

issue of affirmative action, discussing how it really works in such areas as employment, voting rights, mortgage and insurance regulation, education, and minority set-asides

affirmative action: Affirmative Action Tim J. Wise, 2005 First Published in 2005. Routledge is an imprint of Taylor & Francis, an informa company.

affirmative action: Naked Racial Preference Carl Cohen, 1995-09-12 From landmark court cases on affirmative action to their consequences, a study on why such preferences are morally wrong, unlawful, and indefensible.

affirmative action: Affirmative Action Alan Marzilli, 2009 Some advocates of affirmative action argue that the policy remains necessary in order to make the U.S. workforce more diverse.

affirmative action: For Discrimination Randall Kennedy, 2015-06-09 The definitive reckoning with Affirmative Action, one of America's most explosively contentious and divisive issues—from "one of our most important and perceptive writers on race and the law."—The Washington Post "A clear-eyed take on America's battle over affirmative action and diversity.... [Kennedy] goes straight at the issue with fearlessness and a certain cheekiness." —Los Angeles Times "Compelling.... Powerful." —Wall Street Journal What precisely is affirmative action, and why is it fiercely championed by some and just as fiercely denounced by others? Does it signify a boon or a stigma? Or is it simply reverse discrimination? What are its benefits and costs to American society? What are the exact indicia determining who should or should not be accorded affirmative action? When should affirmative action end, if it must? Randall Kennedy gives us a concise and deeply personal overview of the policy, refusing to shy away from the myriad complexities of an issue that continues to bedevil American race relations.

affirmative action: The Affirmative Action Debate Steven M. Cahn, 2002 Contributors: Steven M. Cahn, James W. Nickel, J. L. Cowan, Paul W. Taylor, Michael D. Bayles, William A. Nunn III, Alan H. Goldman, Paul Woodruff, Robert A. Shiver, Judith Jarvis Thomson, Robert Simon, George Sher, Robert Amdur, Robert K. Fullinwider, Bernard R. Boxhill, Lisa H. Newton, Anita L. Allen, Celia Wolf-Devine, Sidney Hook, Richard Waaserstrom, Thomas E. Hill, Jr., John Kekes.

affirmative action: Affirmative Action and Preferential Admissions in Higher Education Kathryn Swanson, 1981 Winner of the 1981-82 Joseph L. Andrews Bibliographical Award presented by the American Association of Law Librarians ...an excellent bibliography which addresses a very important contemporary issue. It deserves a place in the collections of large public libraries, law libraries, and most academic institutions. --RQ

affirmative action: Affirmative Action in Perspective Fletcher A. Blanchard, Faye J. Crosby, 2012-12-06 Racism and sexism remain prevalent in societies today. Based on this proven premise, the authors of *Affirmative Action in Perspective* maintain that a policy of equal opportunity as practiced in America is not a feasible, realistic solution to the legacy of racial and sexual discrimination. Drs. Blanchard and Crosby have edited a volume which clearly displays their conviction that affirmative action as a policy has the potential to establish a society more equitable than the society we know now. Distinguished contributors to this volume discuss the policy from a level of definition to actual case studies and further, to the theoretical examination of the justice of affirmative action. Throughout the book the urgency of questioning current policies is evident; so too is the need for basic understanding of the realities of injustice which draw the line between the advantaged and the disadvantaged.

affirmative action: The Ironies of Affirmative Action John D. Skrentny, 2018-12-01 Affirmative action has been fiercely debated for more than a quarter of a century, producing much partisan literature, but little serious scholarship and almost nothing on its cultural and political origins. *The Ironies of Affirmative Action* is the first book-length, comprehensive, historical account of the development of affirmative action. Analyzing both the resistance from the Right and the support from the Left, Skrentny brings to light the unique moral culture that has shaped the affirmative action debate, allowing for starkly different policies for different citizens. He also shows, through an analysis of historical documents and court rulings, the complex and intriguing political circumstances which gave rise to these controversial policies. By exploring the mystery of how it

took less than five years for a color-blind policy to give way to one that explicitly took race into account, Skrentny uncovers and explains surprising ironies: that affirmative action was largely created by white males and initially championed during the Nixon administration; that many civil rights leaders at first avoided advocacy of racial preferences; and that though originally a political taboo, almost no one resisted affirmative action. With its focus on the historical and cultural context of policy elites, *The Ironies of Affirmative Action* challenges dominant views of policymaking and politics.

affirmative action: *The Affirmative Action Myth* Jason L. Riley, 2025-05-06 From Wall Street Journal columnist and Manhattan Institute senior fellow Jason L. Riley, a clear, concise, and humane account (Christina Hoff Sommers) of how racial preferences have done more harm than good for black Americans After the Supreme Court ruled in 2023 that the use of race in college admissions was unconstitutional, many predicted that the black middle class was doomed. One byproduct of a half century of affirmative action is that it has given people the impression that blacks can't advance without special treatment. In *The Affirmative Action Myth*, Jason L. Riley details the neglected history of black achievement without government intervention. Using empirical data, Riley shows how black families lifted themselves out of poverty prior to the racial preference policies of the 1960s and 1970s. Black incomes, homeownership, and educational attainment were all on the rise in the first two-thirds of the twentieth century and began to stagnate only after affirmative action became the law of the land, tainting black achievement with suspicions of unfair advantage. Countering thinkers who blame white supremacy and systemic racism for today's racial gaps, Riley offers a more optimistic story of black success without racial favoritism.

affirmative action: *The Law of Affirmative Action* Girardeau A. Spann, 2000-02-01 The debate over race in this country has of late converged on the contentious issue of affirmative action. Although the Supreme Court once supported the concept of racial affirmative action, in recent years a majority of the Court has consistently opposed various affirmative action programs. *The Law of Affirmative Action* provides a comprehensive chronicle of the evolution of the Supreme Court's involvement with the racial affirmative action issue over the last quarter century. Starting with the 1974 *DeFunis v. Odegaard* decision and the 1978 *Bakke* decision, which marked the beginnings of the Court's entanglement with affirmative action, Girardeau Spann examines every major Supreme Court affirmative action decision, showing how the controversy the Court initially left unresolved in *DeFunis* has persisted through the Court's 1998-99 term. Including nearly thirty principal cases, covering equal protection, voting rights, Title VII, and education, *The Law of Affirmative Action* is the only work to treat the Court decisions on racial affirmative action so closely, tracing the votes of each justice who has participated in the decisions. Indispensable for students and scholars, this timely volume elucidates reasons for the 180 degree turn in opinion on an issue so central to the debate on race in America today.

affirmative action: *Protesting Affirmative Action* Dennis Deslippe, 2012-03 In the process of balancing ideals of race and gender equality with competing notions of colorblindness and meritocracy, they even borrowed the language of the civil rights era to make far-reaching claims about equality, justice, and citizenship in their anti-affirmative action rhetoric. Deslippe traces this conflict through compelling case studies of real people and real jobs. He asks what the introduction of affirmative action meant to the careers and livelihoods of Seattle steelworkers, New York asbestos handlers, St. Louis firemen, Detroit policemen, City University of New York academics, and admissions councilors at the University of Washington Law School. Through their experiences, Deslippe examines the diverse reactions to affirmative action, concluding that workers had legitimate grievances against its hiring and promotion practices.

affirmative action: *Affirmative Action in Antidiscrimination Law and Policy* Samuel Leiter, William M. Leiter, 2012-02-01 Affirmative action has been and continues to be the flashpoint of America's civil rights agenda. Yet while the affirmative action literature is voluminous, no comprehensive account of its major legal and public policy dimensions exists. Samuel and William M. Leiter examine the origin and growth of affirmative action, its impact on American society, its

current state, and its future anti-discrimination role, if any. Informed by several different disciplines—law, history, economics, sociology, political science, urban studies, and criminology—the text combines the relevant legal materials with analysis and commentary from a variety of experts. This even-handed presentation of the subject of affirmative action is sure to be a valuable aid to those seeking to understand the issue's many complexities.

affirmative action: Affirmative Action and the Meanings of Merit Bruce P. Lapenson, 2009-03-16 The public defenses of affirmative action have not convinced the majority of Americans that the policy is necessary and just. The notion that merit and qualifications for academic places and jobs can be judged solely by test scores and grades is seriously called into question by the numerous studies analyzed in *Affirmative Action and the Meanings of Merit*. These studies show that many affirmative action beneficiaries have succeeded in higher education and various occupations despite not having the required test scores or GPA, therefore exposing reified concepts of merit as intellectually murky. Public defenders of affirmative action must point to these realities to convince more Americans that such policies are ethical and contribute to the goal of a diverse and fair-minded society.

Related to affirmative action

Affirmative action - Wikipedia Affirmative action (also sometimes called reservations, alternative access, positive discrimination or positive action in various countries' laws and policies) [a] refers to a set of policies and

Affirmative action | Definition, History, & Cases | Britannica Affirmative action in the United States is the active effort to improve employment, educational, and other opportunities for members of groups that have been subjected to

Affirmative action: A look at its fall and future - The Hill 1 day ago Support for affirmative action has waxed and waned since the 1960s. In the ensuing decades, however, opposition grew, as the practice became increasingly associated with

Affirmative Action - Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy “Affirmative action” means positive steps taken to increase the representation of women and minorities in areas of employment, education, and culture from which they have

What Is Affirmative Action? How It Works and Example - Investopedia Affirmative action refers to a policy aimed at increasing workplace and educational opportunities for people who are underrepresented in various areas of our society. It focuses

affirmative action | Wex | US Law | LII / Legal Information Institute Affirmative action is defined as a set of procedures designed to eliminate unlawful discrimination among applicants, remedy the results of such prior discrimination, and prevent such

Affirmative Action: Definition & College Admissions | HISTORY Affirmative action is the name given to efforts at encouraging the participation of women and minorities in education, the workforce, the military and other arenas

CM-607 Affirmative Action - U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Affirmative action under the Guidelines means those actions appropriate to overcome the effects of past or present practices, policies, or other barriers to equal employment opportunity

Affirmative Action: Pros, Cons, and Supreme Court Cases Explore the intricate debate around affirmative action with this article covering its pros, cons, and key Supreme Court cases. Uncover its impact on societal equity

Affirmative action, U.S. history, civil rights, equal opportunity Affirmative Action is a policy, usually carried out by schools, businesses, government entities, and federal contractors, in which individuals of minority racial status are afforded preferential

Affirmative action - Wikipedia Affirmative action (also sometimes called reservations, alternative access, positive discrimination or positive action in various countries' laws and policies) [a] refers to a set of policies and

Affirmative action | Definition, History, & Cases | Britannica Affirmative action in the United

States is the active effort to improve employment, educational, and other opportunities for members of groups that have been subjected to

Affirmative action: A look at its fall and future - The Hill 1 day ago Support for affirmative action has waxed and waned since the 1960s. In the ensuing decades, however, opposition grew, as the practice became increasingly associated with

Affirmative Action - Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy “Affirmative action” means positive steps taken to increase the representation of women and minorities in areas of employment, education, and culture from which they have

What Is Affirmative Action? How It Works and Example - Investopedia Affirmative action refers to a policy aimed at increasing workplace and educational opportunities for people who are underrepresented in various areas of our society. It focuses

affirmative action | Wex | US Law | LII / Legal Information Institute Affirmative action is defined as a set of procedures designed to eliminate unlawful discrimination among applicants, remedy the results of such prior discrimination, and prevent such

Affirmative Action: Definition & College Admissions | HISTORY Affirmative action is the name given to efforts at encouraging the participation of women and minorities in education, the workforce, the military and other arenas

CM-607 Affirmative Action - U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Affirmative action under the Guidelines means those actions appropriate to overcome the effects of past or present practices, policies, or other barriers to equal employment opportunity

Affirmative Action: Pros, Cons, and Supreme Court Cases Explore the intricate debate around affirmative action with this article covering its pros, cons, and key Supreme Court cases. Uncover its impact on societal equity

Affirmative action, U.S. history, civil rights, equal opportunity Affirmative Action is a policy, usually carried out by schools, businesses, government entities, and federal contractors, in which individuals of minority racial status are afforded preferential

Related to affirmative action

So Much for Class-Based Affirmative Action (5don MSNOpinion) When the Supreme Court struck down race-based affirmative action, it included some words of comfort for Americans worried

So Much for Class-Based Affirmative Action (5don MSNOpinion) When the Supreme Court struck down race-based affirmative action, it included some words of comfort for Americans worried

What's the deal with affirmative action? (1don MSNOpinion) Support for affirmative action has waxed and waned since the 1960s. In the ensuing decades, however, opposition grew, as the practice became increasingly associated with preferential treatment,

What's the deal with affirmative action? (1don MSNOpinion) Support for affirmative action has waxed and waned since the 1960s. In the ensuing decades, however, opposition grew, as the practice became increasingly associated with preferential treatment,

The fall of affirmative action still fuels debates over race and education. Here's why.

(Chalkbeat on MSN12d) Much of the lingering uncertainty around the Students for Fair Admissions decision stems from the Trump administration's

The fall of affirmative action still fuels debates over race and education. Here's why.

(Chalkbeat on MSN12d) Much of the lingering uncertainty around the Students for Fair Admissions decision stems from the Trump administration's

Affirmative Action Is Dead. What Should Universities Do Now? (12d) The Yale law professor Justin Driver considers the legal arguments for and against the policy, as well as alternative ways to

Affirmative Action Is Dead. What Should Universities Do Now? (12d) The Yale law professor Justin Driver considers the legal arguments for and against the policy, as well as alternative ways to

JD Vance mocks Joy Reid with meme after former MSNBC host's 'affirmative action' attack (4don MSN) Former MSNBC host Joy Reid claims JD Vance benefited from affirmative action to get into Yale, prompting the vice president

JD Vance mocks Joy Reid with meme after former MSNBC host's 'affirmative action' attack (4don MSN) Former MSNBC host Joy Reid claims JD Vance benefited from affirmative action to get into Yale, prompting the vice president

How South Asian students are standing out to the Ivy League after affirmative action ban (11don MSN) It's been two years since the Supreme Court struck down affirmative action, reshaping the college admissions landscape — and

How South Asian students are standing out to the Ivy League after affirmative action ban (11don MSN) It's been two years since the Supreme Court struck down affirmative action, reshaping the college admissions landscape — and

Action After Affirmative Action (The Chronicle of Higher Education14d) Two years ago, the Supreme Court eliminated affirmative action in a case called Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard College (SFFA). That opinion

Action After Affirmative Action (The Chronicle of Higher Education14d) Two years ago, the Supreme Court eliminated affirmative action in a case called Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard College (SFFA). That opinion

Joy Reid 'promises' JD Vance is a product of affirmative action, and HIS reaction is TOO GOOD (BizPac Review4d) Vice President J.D. Vance is quickly becoming the King of Memes and brutal comebacks, and his wittiness just snagged another victim. As many know, Vance grew up []

Joy Reid 'promises' JD Vance is a product of affirmative action, and HIS reaction is TOO GOOD (BizPac Review4d) Vice President J.D. Vance is quickly becoming the King of Memes and brutal comebacks, and his wittiness just snagged another victim. As many know, Vance grew up []

Anti-affirmative action groups' latest target is a private school that gives preference to Native Hawaiians (12don MSN) Students for Fair Admissions is challenging the legality of a school in Honolulu favoring Native Hawaiians, in an effort to

Anti-affirmative action groups' latest target is a private school that gives preference to Native Hawaiians (12don MSN) Students for Fair Admissions is challenging the legality of a school in Honolulu favoring Native Hawaiians, in an effort to

Why Do Individuals Oppose Affirmative Action? (Psychology Today9y) Affirmative action has been a controversial and divisive issue. In Canada, affirmative action (or employment equity) is aimed at redressing past inequities and promoting the hiring of underrepresented

Why Do Individuals Oppose Affirmative Action? (Psychology Today9y) Affirmative action has been a controversial and divisive issue. In Canada, affirmative action (or employment equity) is aimed at redressing past inequities and promoting the hiring of underrepresented

"It's actually reverse affirmative action" - Jon Stewart reacts to Kamala Harris snubbing Pete Buttigieg as VP because he's gay (Soap Central3d) Comedian and writer Jon Stewart shared his thoughts about Kamala Harris's recent statement about politician Pete Buttigieg

"It's actually reverse affirmative action" - Jon Stewart reacts to Kamala Harris snubbing Pete Buttigieg as VP because he's gay (Soap Central3d) Comedian and writer Jon Stewart shared his thoughts about Kamala Harris's recent statement about politician Pete Buttigieg

Back to Home: <http://www.speargroupllc.com>